

Brief List of Cases Related to Access Requirements in Corrections / Law Enforcement (as of 3/22/2023)

As many of the decisions or cases have impacts across multiple areas within the correctional system, the citations are placed in all the fields that align with the case. For example, the Vermont case is state level corrections, and also discusses full access to all programs of the correctional system, so it is placed at the state category, also within the correctional category, and within the “all access programs” category. All the cases have also been placed into the state designation (for example, the Arapahoe County case is placed in the Colorado category in case a person is looking for specific state references). These are provided only as references, and should not be construed as legal advice.

Governmental Body

States / Districts / Territories

1. California
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Complaint Settlement Agreement regarding Effective Communication with County of Alameda Sheriff's Office (Complaint # 204-11-290) (2010): https://archive.ada.gov/alameda_county_sa.html
 - ii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with San Luis Obispo, California (DJ 204-12C-506, USAO 2018V01548) County Jail regarding architectural barriers and denial of access to programs, services, and activities for mobility disabilities
2. Colorado
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice v. Arapahoe County, Colorado Sheriff, J. Grayson Robinson (2013) Complaint # 204-13-310 regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/lawrence-arapahoe.htm>
 - ii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of Englewood, Colorado (#204-13-311) (2013) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/englewood.htm>
 - iii. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Justin Smith, Sheriff of Larimer County, Colorado (DJ 204-13-318) (5/23/2017) regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services: https://archive.ada.gov/larimer_cty_sheriff_sa.html
3. Connecticut
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of New Haven, Connecticut (# 204-14-143 / 204-14-144) (2013) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/new-haven/new-haven-sa.htm>
 - ii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Wallingford Police Department (No. 204-14-164) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/wallingford_sa.html
4. Florida
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Consolidated City of Jacksonville, Florida (# 204-17M-279; 204-17M-290; 204-17M-295; 204-17M-

- 346; 204-17M-361; 204-17M-400; 204-17M-401) (9/27/2007) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/jacksonvillefla.htm>
- ii. U.S. Department of Justice v Florida Department of Corrections regarding Effective Communication and denial of equal program benefits – complaint, motion to intervene, letter of findings
 1. Complaint (1/9/2017):
https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_comp.html
 2. Motion to Intervene (1/9/2017):
https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
 - iii. Letter of Findings of non-compliance with obligation to cooperate with the DOJ compliance review pursuant to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (DJ 204-7M-447) (6/16/2015):
https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
 - iv. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Ken Mascara, Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services (# 204-18-181), (4/12/2007) - <https://archive.ada.gov/stlucieco.htm>
 - v. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (#204-17M-440) (7/17/2014) with Orange County Clerk of Courts, Florida, regarding accessibility of court documents to an attorney who is blind and other individuals who use screen reader technology (includes phrase “a public entity may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity’s services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity...”):
<https://archive.ada.gov/occ.htm>
5. Georgia
- i. Department of Justice Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae (6/21/2010) in the Miller v. Smith case, Civil Action File No. 6:98-CV-109-JEG, Southern District of Georgia, regarding correctional officials’ obligations under Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act toward prisoners with disabilities including accessible housing, access to all prison programs, services, and activities, and provide disability related medical care, including assistance, equipment, and supplies, includes statement on contractors “Engaging in contractual, licensing, or other arrangements that deny participation; provide unequal aids, benefits, or services; perpetuate discrimination; or otherwise limit enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity, 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b)(1), (3),”: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/miller_amicus.pdf
6. Hawaii
- i. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Hawaii Department of Public Safety (DJ 204-21-88) (3/20/2019) regarding providing equal access to prison programs, services, activities, and facilities for persons with disabilities, focus on mobility disability discrimination: https://archive.ada.gov/hawaii_dps_sa.html
7. Idaho
- i. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Kootenai County, Idaho to remove architectural barriers to create access to detention center facilities and

public buildings including county courthouse; county justice building; juvenile justice center, and county public safety building; #: 2014-22-97; 204-22-98; 204-22-99; 204-22-100, (10/3/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/kootenai_sa.html

8. Illinois

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Elk Grove Village Police Department, Elk Grove, Illinois regarding failure to provide auxiliary aids and services (Effective Communication) (10/28/2008): https://archive.ada.gov/elk_grove.htm

9. Indiana

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Case 4:12-cv-45-SEB-WGH) in Prakel v. State of Indiana (Southern District of Indiana, New Albany Division) regarding denial of Effective Communication in court proceedings (1/7/2014): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/prakel_so.pdf

10. Kentucky

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement / Consent Decree (11/4/2022) with the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, Division of Community Corrections (Fayette County Detention Center) regarding the use of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) medications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/fayette-county-detention-center>

11. Louisiana

- i. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Louisiana State Penitentiary / Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections (11/14/2017) regarding removal of architectural barriers to access of detention center facilities, programs, services, and activities including failure to provide accessible transportation to medical infirmary and other areas and limited access to jobs for inmates with mobility disabilities, limited access to education and recreation, limited access to wheelchairs, adaptive equipment, prostheses, orthopedic shoes, braces, medically necessary equipment (this agreement excludes ongoing cases or litigation, including Lewis v. Cain (3:15-cv-00318, M.D. La. Filed May 20, 2015): https://archive.ada.gov/lsp_sa.html

12. Massachusetts

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Agreement with Massachusetts Parole Board (discrimination against individuals with substance use disorder) (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ma/pr/us-attorneys-office-settles-disability-discrimination-allegations-massachusetts-parole>
- ii. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Massachusetts Trial Court (3/24/2022) regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities on the basis of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), including prohibition of use of medication for OUD in order to participate in drug court activities: https://archive.ada.gov/usao_mass_court_sa.pdf
- iii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement/Consent Decree with the Massachusetts Parole Board (12/14/2021) regarding not completing individualized assessment or reasonable modifications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1551886/download>

13. Michigan

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Rochester, Michigan Police Department (8/24/2015) regarding Effective Communication:
https://archive.ada.gov/rochester_pd_sa.html

14. Minnesota

- i. U.S. Department of Justice v. Minnesota Department of Corrections (Education (GED) Specific) Letter of Findings (2022):
<https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/minnesota-department-corrections>
- ii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Dakota County, Minnesota (# 204-39-139) (11/3/2008) regarding Effective Communication:
https://archive.ada.gov/dakota_co.htm

15. Nevada

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:
 1. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021:
https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
 2. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016):
https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf

16. New York

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Erie County, New York (DJ# 204-53-125) regarding the Erie County Holding Center and the Erie County Correctional Facility compliance review:
https://archive.ada.gov/erie_county/erie_county_sa.htm
- ii. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated:
https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
- iii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with New York City Police Department (11/18/2009) regarding Effective Communication:
<https://archive.ada.gov/nypd.htm>
- iv. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest in the Williams v. the City of New York case (2 Civ. 6805 (VEC)) establishing the fact that Title II of the ADA extends to arrests, including provision of auxiliary aids and services:
https://archive.ada.gov/williams_new-york_soi.pdf

17. Ohio

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including “employees, agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...”: https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html
- 18. Pennsylvania
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>
 - ii. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (12/7/2016) with Philadelphia Police Department regarding auxiliary aids and services to ensure Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/philadelphia_pd_lof.pdf
 - 1. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement agreement with Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia (DJ 204-62-226) regarding Effective Communication (8/2/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/ppd_sa.html
 - iii. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings and Complaint with Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania regarding limiting participation in programs, services, and activities for disabled individuals with Opioid Use Disorder, including denial of benefit from probationary and treatment court supervision:
 - 1. Letter of Findings (2/2/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_lof.pdf
 - 2. Complaint (2/24/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_comp.pdf
 - iv. U.S. Department of Justice Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (11/1/2022) with the George W. Hill Correctional Facility (Pennsylvania) regarding denial of participation in programs, services, and activities, including inmate employment: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1553731/download>
- 19. South Carolina
 - i. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Columbia, South Carolina Police Department (DJ# 204-67-153) (2016) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/columbia_pd/columbia_pd_sa.html
 - ii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (No. 204-67-120); with Oconee County, South Carolina Courthouse Municipal Facility (7/22/2010) – initiated as a compliance review - regarding physical accessibility in courthouse, including parking, signage, restrooms, courtroom seating, witness stands, jury boxes, and accessible routes: <https://archive.ada.gov/oconee.htm>
- 20. South Dakota
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical

inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html

21. Utah

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Utah Department of Corrections (DJ 204-77-80) regarding Effective Communication (1/22/2019): https://archive.ada.gov/udoc_sa.html

22. Vermont

- i. U.S. Department of Justice v. Vermont Department of Corrections Agreement (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-agreement-vermont-department-corrections-improve-access-inmates>
- ii. Sage v. City of Winooski, Vermont, Statement of Interest by U.S. Department of Justice (1/18/2017) to clarify application of Title II in stopping and arresting disabled persons, explain application of Title II's reasonable modification requirement in this context: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/winooski_soi.pdf
- iii. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Vermont Department of Corrections (DJ 204-78-44) regarding lack of accessibility for inmates with mobility disabilities (Southern State Correctional Facility) and lack of Effective communication (Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility) in programs, services, and activities (10/28/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/vdoc_sa.pdf

23. Virginia

- i. U.S. Department of Justice V. Arlington County (VA) Sheriff Elizabeth F. Arthur Settlement Agreement (DJ# 204-79-325), regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/arlington_co_sheriff_sa.html
- ii. U.S. Department of Justice v. Central Virginia Regional Jail Authority regarding effective communication (DJ 204-80-101) (2019): https://archive.ada.gov/central_va_jail_sa.html
- iii. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (3/25/2019) with Orange County Circuit Court, Magistrate 16th Judicial District, Executive Secretary Virginia Supreme Court (Entities of the Commonwealth of Virginia), regarding Effective Communication for judicial circuit courts: https://archive.ada.gov/entities_commonwealth_va_sa.html

24. Washington, DC

- i. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Civil Action No. 15-cv-00803 (KBJ)) in the Robinson v. Farley case in U.S. District Court for District of Columbia regarding failure to accommodate disabilities during arrest and post-arrest proceedings: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/robinson_soi.pdf

State Level Administrations

1. U.S. Department of Justice v. Minnesota Department of Corrections (Education (GED) Specific) Letter of Findings (2022): <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/minnesota-department-corrections>

2. U.S. Department of Justice v. Vermont Department of Corrections Agreement (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-agreement-vermont-department-corrections-improve-access-inmates>
3. U.S. Department of Justice Agreement with Massachusetts Parole Board (discrimination against individuals with substance use disorder) (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ma/pr/us-attorneys-office-settles-disability-discrimination-allegations-massachusetts-parole>
4. U.S. Department of Justice v Florida Department of Corrections regarding Effective Communication and denial of equal program benefits – complaint, motion to intervene, letter of findings
 - a. Complaint (1/9/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_comp.html
 - b. Motion to Intervene (1/9/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
5. Letter of Findings of non-compliance with obligation to cooperate with the DOJ compliance review pursuant to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (DJ 204-7M-447) (6/16/2015): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
6. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Hawaii Department of Public Safety (DJ 204-21-88) (3/20/2019) regarding providing equal access to prison programs, services, activities, and facilities for persons with disabilities, focus on mobility disability discrimination: https://archive.ada.gov/hawaii_dps_sa.html
7. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Louisiana State Penitentiary / Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections (11/14/2017) regarding removal of architectural barriers to access of detention center facilities, programs, services, and activities including failure to provide accessible transportation to medical infirmary and other areas and limited access to jobs for inmates with mobility disabilities, limited access to education and recreation, limited access to wheelchairs, adaptive equipment, prostheses, orthopedic shoes, braces, medically necessary equipment (this agreement excludes ongoing cases or litigation, including Lewis v. Cain (3:15-cv-00318, M.D. La. Filed May 20, 2015): https://archive.ada.gov/lsp_sa.html
8. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Massachusetts Trial Court (3/24/2022) regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities on the basis of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), including prohibition of use of medication for OUD in order to participate in drug court activities: https://archive.ada.gov/usao_mass_court_sa.pdf
9. Department of Justice Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae (6/21/2010) in the Miller v. Smith case, Civil Action File No. 6:98-CV-109-JEG, Southern District of Georgia, regarding correctional officials’ obligations under Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act toward prisoners with disabilities including accessible housing, access to all prison programs, services, and activities, and provide disability related medical care, including assistance, equipment, and supplies, includes statement on contractors “Engaging in contractual, licensing, or

other arrangements that deny participation; provide unequal aids, benefits, or services; perpetuate discrimination; or otherwise limit enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity, 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b)(1), (3),”: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/miller_amicus.pdf

10. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:
 - a. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021: https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
 - b. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf
11. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including “employees, agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...”: https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html
12. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>
13. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Case 4:12-cv-45-SEB-WGH) in *Prakel v. State of Indiana* (Southern District of Indiana, New Albany Division) regarding denial of Effective Communication in court proceedings (1/7/2014): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/prakel_soi.pdf
14. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html
15. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings and Complaint with Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania regarding limiting participation in programs, services, and activities for disabled individuals with Opioid Use Disorder, including denial of benefit from probationary and treatment court supervision:
 - a. Letter of Findings (2/2/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_lof.pdf
 - b. Complaint (2/24/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_comp.pdf

16. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Utah Department of Corrections (DJ 204-77-80) regarding Effective Communication (1/22/2019): https://archive.ada.gov/udoc_sa.html
17. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Vermont Department of Corrections (DJ 204-78-44) regarding lack of accessibility for inmates with mobility disabilities (Southern State Correctional Facility) and lack of Effective communication (Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility) in programs, services, and activities (10/28/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/vdoc_sa.pdf
18. U.S. Department of Justice Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (11/1/2022) with the George W. Hill Correctional Facility (Pennsylvania) regarding denial of participation in programs, services, and activities, including inmate employment: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1553731/download>
19. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement/Consent Decree with the Massachusetts Parole Board (12/14/2021) regarding not completing individualized assessment or reasonable modifications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1551886/download>

County Level Administrations

1. U.S. Department of Justice Complaint Settlement Agreement regarding Effective Communication with County of Alameda Sheriff's Office (Complaint # 204-11-290) (2010): https://archive.ada.gov/alameda_county_sa.html
2. U.S. Department of Justice v. Arapahoe County, Colorado Sheriff, J. Grayson Robinson (2013) Complaint # 204-13-310 regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/lawrence-arapahoe.htm>
3. U.S. Department of Justice V. Arlington County (VA) Sheriff Elizabeth F. Arthur Settlement Agreement (DJ# 204-79-325), regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/arlington_co_sheriff_sa.html
4. U.S. Department of Justice v. Central Virginia Regional Jail Authority (consortium of several counties) regarding effective communication (DJ 204-80-101) (2019): https://archive.ada.gov/central_va_jail_sa.html
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Erie County, New York (DJ# 204-53-125) regarding the Erie County Holding Center and the Erie County Correctional Facility compliance review: https://archive.ada.gov/erie_county/erie_county_sa.htm
6. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Justin Smith, Sheriff of Larimer County, Colorado (DJ 204-13-318) (5/23/2017) regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services: https://archive.ada.gov/larimer_cty_sheriff_sa.html
7. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Ken Mascara, Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services (# 204-18-181), (4/12/2007) - <https://archive.ada.gov/stlucieco.htm>
8. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (No. 204-67-120); with Oconee County, South Carolina Courthouse Municipal Facility (7/22/2010) – initiated as a compliance review - regarding physical accessibility in courthouse, including parking,

- signage, restrooms, courtroom seating, witness stands, jury boxes, and accessible routes: <https://archive.ada.gov/oconee.htm>
9. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (3/25/2019) with Orange County Circuit Court, Magistrate 16th Judicial District, Executive Secretary Virginia Supreme Court (Entities of the Commonwealth of Virginia), regarding Effective Communication for judicial circuit courts:
https://archive.ada.gov/entities_commonwealth_va_sa.html
 10. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (#204-17M-440) (7/17/2014) with Orange County Clerk of Courts, Florida, regarding accessibility of court documents to an attorney who is blind and other individuals who use screen reader technology (includes phrase “a public entity may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity’s services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity...”):
<https://archive.ada.gov/occ.htm>
 11. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with San Luis Obispo, California (DJ 204-12C-506, USAO 2018V01548) County Jail regarding architectural barriers and denial of access to programs, services, and activities for mobility disabilities (6/23/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/san_luis_obispo_sa.html
 12. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement / Consent Decree (11/4/2022) with the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, Division of Community Corrections (Fayette County Detention Center) regarding the use of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) medications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/fayette-county-detention-center>

A. Municipality Level Administrations

1. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of Englewood, Colorado (#204-13-311) (2013) regarding Effective Communication:
<https://archive.ada.gov/englewood.htm>
2. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of New Haven, Connecticut (# 204-14-143 / 204-14-144) (2013) regarding Effective Communication:
<https://archive.ada.gov/new-haven/new-haven-sa.htm>
3. Sage v. City of Winooski, Vermont, Statement of Interest by U.S. Department of Justice (1/18/2017) to clarify application of Title II in stopping and arresting disabled persons, explain application of Title II’s reasonable modification requirement in this context: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/winooski_soi.pdf
4. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Columbia, South Carolina Police Department (DJ# 204-67-153) (2016) regarding Effective Communication:
https://archive.ada.gov/columbia_pd/columbia_pd_sa.html
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Consolidated City of Jacksonville, Florida (# 204-17M-279; 204-17M-290; 204-17M-295; 204-17M-346; 204-17M-361; 204-17M-400; 204-17M-401) (9/27/2007) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/jacksonvillefla.htm>
6. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Elk Grove Village Police Department, Elk Grove, Illinois regarding failure to provide auxiliary aids and

services (Effective Communication) (10/28/2008):

https://archive.ada.gov/elk_grove.htm

7. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated:
https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
8. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with New York City Police Department (11/18/2009) regarding Effective Communication:
<https://archive.ada.gov/nypd.htm>
9. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (No. 204-67-120); with Oconee County, South Carolina Courthouse Municipal Facility (7/22/2010) – initiated as a compliance review - regarding physical accessibility in courthouse, including parking, signage, restrooms, courtroom seating, witness stands, jury boxes, and accessible routes: <https://archive.ada.gov/oconee.htm>
10. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (12/7/2016) with Philadelphia Police Department regarding auxiliary aids and services to ensure Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/philadelphia_pd_lof.pdf
11. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement agreement with Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia (DJ 204-62-226) regarding Effective Communication (8/2/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/ppd_sa.html
 - a. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement agreement with Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia (DJ 204-62-226) regarding Effective Communication (8/2/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/ppd_sa.html
12. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Civil Action No. 15-cv-00803 (KBJ)) in the Robinson v. Farley case in U.S. District Court for District of Columbia regarding failure to accommodate disabilities during arrest and post-arrest proceedings:
https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/robinson_soi.pdf
13. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Rochester, Michigan Police Department (8/24/2015) regarding Effective Communication:
https://archive.ada.gov/rochester_pd_sa.html
14. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Wallingford Police Department (No. 204-14-164) regarding Effective Communication:
https://archive.ada.gov/wallingford_sa.html
15. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest in the Williams v. the City of New York case (2 Civ. 6805 (VEC)) establishing the fact that Title II of the ADA extends to arrests, including provision of auxiliary aids and services:
https://archive.ada.gov/williams_new-york_soi.pdf

Accommodation Concerns

All programs access

1. U.S. Department of Justice v. Vermont Department of Corrections Agreement (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-agreement-vermont-department-corrections-improve-access-inmates>
2. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Hawaii Department of Public Safety (DJ 204-21-88) (3/20/2019) regarding providing equal access to prison programs, services, activities, and facilities for persons with disabilities, focus on mobility disability discrimination: https://archive.ada.gov/hawaii_dps_sa.html
3. Department of Justice Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae (6/21/2010) in the Miller v. Smith case, Civil Action File No. 6:98-CV-109-JEG, Southern District of Georgia, regarding correctional officials' obligations under Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act toward prisoners with disabilities including accessible housing, access to all prison programs, services, and activities, and provide disability related medical care, including assistance, equipment, and supplies, includes statement on contractors "Engaging in contractual, licensing, or other arrangements that deny participation; provide unequal aids, benefits, or services; perpetuate discrimination; or otherwise limit enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity, 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b)(1), (3),": https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/miller_amicus.pdf
4. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:
 - i. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021: https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
 - ii. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf
5. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
6. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including "employees, agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...": https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html

7. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (#204-17M-440) (7/17/2014) with Orange County Clerk of Courts, Florida, regarding accessibility of court documents to an attorney who is blind and other individuals who use screen reader technology (includes phrase “a public entity may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity’s services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity...”: <https://archive.ada.gov/occ.htm>)
8. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>
9. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with San Luis Obispo, California (DJ 204-12C-506, USAO 2018V01548) County Jail regarding architectural barriers and denial of access to programs, services, and activities for mobility disabilities (6/23/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/san_luis_obispo_sa.html
10. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html
11. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Vermont Department of Corrections (DJ 204-78-44) regarding lack of accessibility for inmates with mobility disabilities (Southern State Correctional Facility) and lack of Effective communication (Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility) in programs, services, and activities (10/28/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/vdoc_sa.pdf
12. U.S. Department of Justice Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (11/1/2022) with the George W. Hill Correctional Facility (Pennsylvania) regarding denial of participation in programs, services, and activities, including inmate employment: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1553731/download>

Contractor Responsibilities

1. Department of Justice Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae (6/21/2010) in the Miller v. Smith case, Civil Action File No. 6:98-CV-109-JEG, Southern District of Georgia, regarding correctional officials’ obligations under Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act toward prisoners with disabilities including accessible housing, access to all prison programs, services, and activities, and provide disability related medical care, including assistance, equipment, and supplies, includes statement on contractors “Engaging in contractual, licensing, or other arrangements that deny participation; provide unequal aids, benefits, or services; perpetuate discrimination; or otherwise limit enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity, 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b)(1), (3),”: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/miller_amicus.pdf
2. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic

barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including “employees, agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...”: https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html

- a. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (#204-17M-440) (7/17/2014) with Orange County Clerk of Courts, Florida, regarding accessibility of court documents to an attorney who is blind and other individuals who use screen reader technology (includes phrase “a public entity may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity’s services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity...”: <https://archive.ada.gov/occ.htm>
- b. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>

Education programs

1. U.S. Department of Justice v. Minnesota Department of Corrections (Education (GED) Specific) Letter of Findings (2022): <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/minnesota-department-corrections>
2. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Louisiana State Penitentiary / Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections (11/14/2017) regarding removal of architectural barriers to access of detention center facilities, programs, services, and activities including failure to provide accessible transportation to medical infirmary and other areas and limited access to jobs for inmates with mobility disabilities, limited access to education and recreation, limited access to wheelchairs, adaptive equipment, prostheses, orthopedic shoes, braces, medically necessary equipment (this agreement excludes ongoing cases or litigation, including Lewis v. Cain (3:15-cv-00318, M.D. La. Filed May 20, 2015): https://archive.ada.gov/lsp_sa.html

Effective Communication (D/deaf/hard or hearing access)

1. U.S. Department of Justice Complaint Settlement Agreement regarding Effective Communication with County of Alameda Sheriff’s Office (Complaint # 204-11-290) (2010): https://archive.ada.gov/alameda_county_sa.html
2. U.S. Department of Justice V. Arlington County (VA) Sheriff Elizabeth F. Arthur Settlement Agreement (DJ# 204-79-325), regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/arlington_co_sheriff_sa.html
3. U.S. Department of Justice v. Central Virginia Regional Jail Authority regarding effective communication (DJ 204-80-101) (2019): https://archive.ada.gov/central_va_jail_sa.html

4. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of New Haven, Connecticut (# 204-14-143 / 204-14-144) (2013) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/new-haven/new-haven-sa.htm>
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of Englewood, Colorado (#204-13-311) (2013) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/englewood.htm>
6. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Columbia, South Carolina Police Department (DJ# 204-67-153) (2016) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/columbia_pd/columbia_pd_sa.html
7. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Consolidated City of Jacksonville, Florida (# 204-17M-279; 204-17M-290; 204-17M-295; 204-17M-346; 204-17M-361; 204-17M-400; 204-17M-401) (9/27/2007) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/jacksonvillefla.htm>
8. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Dakota County, Minnesota (# 204-39-139) (11/3/2008) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/dakota_co.htm
9. U.S. Department of Justice v Florida Department of Corrections regarding Effective Communication and denial of equal program benefits – complaint, motion to intervene, letter of findings
 - ii. Complaint (1/9/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_comp.html
 - iii. Motion to Intervene (1/9/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
10. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Justin Smith, Sheriff of Larimer County, Colorado (DJ 204-13-318) (5/23/2017) regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services: https://archive.ada.gov/larimer_cty_sheriff_sa.html
11. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Ken Mascara, Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services (# 204-18-181), (4/12/2007) - <https://archive.ada.gov/stlucieco.htm>
12. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
13. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
14. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with New York City Police Department (11/18/2009) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/nypd.htm>
15. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including “employees,

- agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...”: https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html
16. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (3/25/2019) with Orange County Circuit Court, Magistrate 16th Judicial District, Executive Secretary Virginia Supreme Court (Entities of the Commonwealth of Virginia), regarding Effective Communication for judicial circuit courts: https://archive.ada.gov/entities_commonwealth_va_sa.html
 17. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (12/7/2016) with Philadelphia Police Department regarding auxiliary aids and services to ensure Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/philadelphia_pd_lof.pdf
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement agreement with Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia (DJ 204-62-226) regarding Effective Communication (8/2/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/ppd_sa.html
 18. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Case 4:12-cv-45-SEB-WGH) in Praker v. State of Indiana (Southern District of Indiana, New Albany Division) regarding denial of Effective Communication in court proceedings (1/7/2014): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/praker_so_i.pdf
 19. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Rochester, Michigan Police Department (8/24/2015) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/rochester_pd_sa.html
 20. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html
 21. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Utah Department of Corrections (DJ 204-77-80) regarding Effective Communication (1/22/2019): https://archive.ada.gov/udoc_sa.html
 22. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Vermont Department of Corrections (DJ 204-78-44) regarding lack of accessibility for inmates with mobility disabilities (Southern State Correctional Facility) and lack of Effective communication (Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility) in programs, services, and activities (10/28/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/vdoc_sa.pdf
 23. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Wallingford Police Department (No. 204-14-164) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/wallingford_sa.html

Compliance review

1. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Erie County, New York (DJ# 204-53-125) regarding the Erie County Holding Center and the Erie County Correctional Facility compliance review: https://archive.ada.gov/erie_county/erie_county_sa.htm
2. Letter of Findings of non-compliance with obligation to cooperate with the DOJ compliance review pursuant to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (DJ 204-7M-447) (6/16/2015): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
3. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (No. 204-67-120); with Oconee County, South Carolina Courthouse Municipal Facility (7/22/2010) – initiated as a compliance review - regarding physical accessibility in courthouse, including parking, signage, restrooms, courtroom seating, witness stands, jury boxes, and accessible routes: <https://archive.ada.gov/oconee.htm>

Timely Response

1. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf

Architectural barriers (mobility)

1. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Hawaii Department of Public Safety (DJ 204-21-88) (3/20/2019) regarding providing equal access to prison programs, services, activities, and facilities for persons with disabilities, focus on mobility disability discrimination: https://archive.ada.gov/hawaii_dps_sa.html
2. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Kootenai County, Idaho to remove architectural barriers to create access to detention center facilities and public buildings including county courthouse; county justice building; juvenile justice center, and county public safety building; #: 2014-22-97; 204-22-98; 204-22-99; 204-22-100, (10/3/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/kootenai_sa.html
3. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Louisiana State Penitentiary / Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections (11/14/2017) regarding removal of architectural barriers to access of detention center facilities, programs, services, and activities including failure to provide accessible transportation to medical infirmary and other areas and limited access to jobs for inmates with mobility disabilities, limited access to education and recreation, limited access to wheelchairs, adaptive equipment, prostheses, orthopedic shoes, braces, medically necessary equipment (this agreement excludes ongoing cases or litigation, including Lewis v. Cain (3:15-cv-00318, M.D. La. Filed May 20, 2015): https://archive.ada.gov/lsp_sa.html
4. Department of Justice Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae (6/21/2010) in the Miller v. Smith case, Civil Action File No. 6:98-CV-109-JEG, Southern District of Georgia, regarding correctional officials' obligations under Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act toward prisoners with disabilities including accessible housing, access to all prison programs, services, and activities, and provide disability related medical care, including assistance, equipment, and supplies, includes statement on contractors "Engaging in contractual, licensing, or other arrangements that deny participation; provide unequal aids, benefits, or services; perpetuate discrimination; or otherwise limit enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity, 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b)(1), (3),": https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/miller_amicus.pdf
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to

various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:

- iv. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021:
https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
- v. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016):
https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf
6. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
7. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (No. 204-67-120); with Oconee County, South Carolina Courthouse Municipal Facility (7/22/2010) – initiated as a compliance review - regarding physical accessibility in courthouse, including parking, signage, restrooms, courtroom seating, witness stands, jury boxes, and accessible routes: <https://archive.ada.gov/oconee.htm>
8. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including “employees, agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...”: https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html
9. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with San Luis Obispo, California (DJ 204-12C-506, USAO 2018V01548) County Jail regarding architectural barriers and denial of access to programs, services, and activities for mobility disabilities (6/23/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/san_luis_obispo_sa.html
10. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html
11. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Vermont Department of Corrections (DJ 204-78-44) regarding lack of accessibility for inmates with mobility disabilities (Southern State Correctional Facility) and lack of Effective communication (Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility) in programs, services, and activities (10/28/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/vdoc_sa.pdf

Segregated housing / Accessible Housing

1. U.S. Department of Justice Complaint Settlement Agreement regarding Effective Communication with County of Alameda Sheriff's Office (Complaint # 204-11-290) (2010): https://archive.ada.gov/alameda_county_sa.html
2. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities

for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:

- a. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021: https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
 - b. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf
3. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
 4. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>

Substance Use Disorder

1. U.S. Department of Justice Agreement with Massachusetts Parole Board (discrimination against individuals with substance use disorder) (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ma/pr/us-attorneys-office-settles-disability-discrimination-allegations-massachusetts-parole>
2. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Massachusetts Trial Court (3/24/2022) regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities on the basis of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), including prohibition of use of medication for OUD in order to participate in drug court activities: https://archive.ada.gov/usao_mass_court_sa.pdf
3. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings and Complaint with Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania regarding limiting participation in programs, services, and activities for disabled individuals with Opioid Use Disorder, including denial of benefit from probationary and treatment court supervision:
 - vi. Letter of Findings (2/2/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_lof.pdf
 - vii. Complaint (2/24/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_comp.pdf
4. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement / Consent Decree (11/4/2022) with the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, Division of Community Corrections (Fayette County Detention Center) regarding the use of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) medications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/fayette-county-detention-center>

Reasonable modification / Individualized assessment

1. Sage v. City of Winooski, Vermont, Statement of Interest by U.S. Department of Justice (1/18/2017) to clarify application of Title II in stopping and arresting disabled persons,

explain application of Title II's reasonable modification requirement in this context:

https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/winooski_soi.pdf

2. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement/Consent Decree with the Massachusetts Parole Board (12/14/2021) regarding not completing individualized assessment or reasonable modifications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1551886/download>

Blind/Low Vision access

1. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
2. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (#204-17M-440) (7/17/2014) with Orange County Clerk of Courts, Florida, regarding accessibility of court documents to an attorney who is blind and other individuals who use screen reader technology (includes phrase "a public entity may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity's services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity...": <https://archive.ada.gov/occ.htm>

Failure to accommodate

1. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Civil Action No. 15-cv-00803 (KBJ)) in the Robinson v. Farley case in U.S. District Court for District of Columbia regarding failure to accommodate disabilities during arrest and post-arrest proceedings: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/robinson_soi.pdf
2. U.S. Department of Justice Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (11/1/2022) with the George W. Hill Correctional Facility (Pennsylvania) regarding denial of participation in programs, services, and activities, including inmate employment: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1553731/download>

Mental Health

1. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>

Intellectual Disabilities

1. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and

intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>

Auxiliary Aids and Services

1. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Elk Grove Village Police Department, Elk Grove, Illinois regarding failure to provide auxiliary aids and services (Effective Communication) (10/28/2008): https://archive.ada.gov/elk_grove.htm
2. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:
 - i. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021: https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
 - ii. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf
3. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (12/7/2016) with Philadelphia Police Department regarding auxiliary aids and services to ensure Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/philadelphia_pd_lof.pdf
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement agreement with Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia (DJ 204-62-226) regarding Effective Communication (8/2/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/ppd_sa.html
4. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest in the Williams v. the City of New York case (2 Civ. 6805 (VEC)) establishing the fact that Title II of the ADA extends to arrests, including provision of auxiliary aids and services: https://archive.ada.gov/williams_new-york_soi.pdf

Deaf-Blind

1. U.S. Department of Justice Complaint Settlement Agreement regarding Effective Communication with County of Alameda Sheriff's Office (Complaint # 204-11-290) (2010): https://archive.ada.gov/alameda_county_sa.html

Correctional, Law Enforcement, or Judicial System

Corrections & Supervision (including prisons, jails, and probation/release)

1. U.S. Department of Justice v. Minnesota Department of Corrections (Education (GED) Specific) Letter of Findings (2022): <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/minnesota-department-corrections>
2. U.S. Department of Justice v. Vermont Department of Corrections Agreement (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-agreement-vermont-department-corrections-improve-access-inmates>

3. U.S. Department of Justice Agreement with Massachusetts Parole Board (discrimination against individuals with substance use disorder) (2021): <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ma/pr/us-attorneys-office-settles-disability-discrimination-allegations-massachusetts-parole>
4. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Erie County, New York (DJ# 204-53-125) regarding the Erie County Holding Center and the Erie County Correctional Facility compliance review: https://archive.ada.gov/erie_county/erie_county_sa.htm
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Dakota County, Minnesota (# 204-39-139) (11/3/2008) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/dakota_co.htm
6. U.S. Department of Justice v Florida Department of Corrections regarding Effective Communication and denial of equal program benefits – complaint, motion to intervene, letter of findings
 - a. Complaint (1/9/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_comp.html
 - b. Motion to Intervene (1/9/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
7. Letter of Findings of non-compliance with obligation to cooperate with the DOJ compliance review pursuant to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (DJ 204-7M-447) (6/16/2015): https://archive.ada.gov/florida_doc/florida_doc_moi.html
8. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Hawaii Department of Public Safety (DJ 204-21-88) (3/20/2019) regarding providing equal access to prison programs, services, activities, and facilities for persons with disabilities, focus on mobility disability discrimination: https://archive.ada.gov/hawaii_dps_sa.html
9. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Justin Smith, Sheriff of Larimer County, Colorado (DJ 204-13-318) (5/23/2017) regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services: https://archive.ada.gov/larimer_cty_sheriff_sa.html
10. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Ken Mascara, Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services (# 204-18-181), (4/12/2007) - <https://archive.ada.gov/stlucieco.htm>
11. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Kootenai County, Idaho to remove architectural barriers to create access to detention center facilities and public buildings including county courthouse; county justice building; juvenile justice center, and county public safety building; #: 2014-22-97; 204-22-98; 204-22-99; 204-22-100, (10/3/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/kootenai_sa.html
12. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Louisiana State Penitentiary / Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections (11/14/2017) regarding removal of architectural barriers to access of detention center facilities, programs, services, and activities including failure to provide accessible transportation to medical infirmary and other areas and limited access to jobs for inmates with mobility disabilities, limited access to education and recreation, limited access to wheelchairs, adaptive equipment, prostheses, orthopedic shoes, braces, medically necessary equipment (this agreement excludes ongoing cases or litigation, including Lewis v. Cain (3:15-cv-00318, M.D. La. Filed May 20, 2015): https://archive.ada.gov/lsp_sa.html

13. Department of Justice Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae (6/21/2010) in the Miller v. Smith case, Civil Action File No. 6:98-CV-109-JEG, Southern District of Georgia, regarding correctional officials' obligations under Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act toward prisoners with disabilities including accessible housing, access to all prison programs, services, and activities, and provide disability related medical care, including assistance, equipment, and supplies, includes statement on contractors "Engaging in contractual, licensing, or other arrangements that deny participation; provide unequal aids, benefits, or services; perpetuate discrimination; or otherwise limit enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity, 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.130(b)(1), (3)," : https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/miller_amicus.pdf
14. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement and Letter of Findings with State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Corrections (3/11/2021), regarding disability discrimination through isolated and segregated housing, employment opportunities for disabled inmates, and denial of lower-custody facilities for qualified disabled inmates because there was not accessibility in lower-custody facilities, denying the inmates access to various programs and services to reintegrate into community and earn additional credits to reduce length of sentences:
 - a. Settlement Agreement (DJ No. 204-46-176), 2/11/2021: https://archive.ada.gov/nv_doc_sa.html
 - b. Letter of Findings (June 20, 2016): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/ndoc_lof.pdf
15. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings regarding New York City Department of Corrections (July 6, 2016) with failures to respond to requests for accommodations in a timely and adequate manner, denying accessible housing to inmates with mobility and visual disabilities, failure to provide mobility devices, failing to ensure Effective Communication is facilitated: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/rmsc_lof.pdf
16. U.S. Department of Justice Settle Agreement (12/30/2016) with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DJ 204-57-208) regarding architectural and programmatic barriers for persons with disabilities, exclusion from participation in or benefits of programs, services, activities, or subjected to discrimination, includes stipulation including "employees, agents, or contractors, who are wholly or partially responsible for the custody and care of inmates...": https://archive.ada.gov/ohio_doc_sa.html
17. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (5/31/2013) with Pennsylvania State Correctional Institution at Cresson regarding denying participation in and benefit from a variety of correctional services and activities for inmates with serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities (including isolation, inadequate mental healthcare, denial of access to services and programs): <https://archive.ada.gov/cresson-lof.htm>
18. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with San Luis Obispo, California (DJ 204-12C-506, USAO 2018V01548) County Jail regarding architectural barriers and denial of access to programs, services, and activities for mobility disabilities (6/23/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/san_luis_obispo_sa.html
19. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective

- Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018):
https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html
20. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with South Dakota Department of Corrections (DJ 204-69-56) regarding complaints of physical inaccessibility of the South Dakota State Penitentiary and Mike Durfee State Prison, including lack of Effective Communication in facilities, programs, services, and activities (10/17/2018):
https://archive.ada.gov/sd_sa.html
 21. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings and Complaint with Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania regarding limiting participation in programs, services, and activities for disabled individuals with Opioid Use Disorder, including denial of benefit from probationary and treatment court supervision:
 - a. Letter of Findings (2/2/2022):
https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_lof.pdf
 - b. Complaint (2/24/2022):
https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_comp.pdf
 22. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Utah Department of Corrections (DJ 204-77-80) regarding Effective Communication (1/22/2019):
https://archive.ada.gov/udoc_sa.html
 23. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Vermont Department of Corrections (DJ 204-78-44) regarding lack of accessibility for inmates with mobility disabilities (Southern State Correctional Facility) and lack of Effective communication (Chittenden Regional Correctional Facility) in programs, services, and activities (10/28/2021): https://archive.ada.gov/vdoc_sa.pdf
 24. U.S. Department of Justice Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (11/1/2022) with the George W. Hill Correctional Facility (Pennsylvania) regarding denial of participation in programs, services, and activities, including inmate employment:
<https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1553731/download>
 25. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement/Consent Decree with the Massachusetts Parole Board (12/14/2021) regarding not completing individualized assessment or reasonable modifications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1551886/download>
 26. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement / Consent Decree (11/4/2022) with the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, Division of Community Corrections (Fayette County Detention Center) regarding the use of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) medications: <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case/fayette-county-detention-center>

Sheriff

1. U.S. Department of Justice Complaint Settlement Agreement regarding Effective Communication with County of Alameda Sheriff's Office (Complaint # 204-11-290) (2010):
https://archive.ada.gov/alameda_county_sa.html
2. U.S. Department of Justice V. Arlington County (VA) Sheriff Elizabeth F. Arthur Settlement Agreement (DJ# 204-79-325), regarding Effective Communication:
https://archive.ada.gov/arlington_co_sheriff_sa.html

3. U.S. Department of Justice v. Arapahoe County, Colorado Sheriff, J. Grayson Robinson (2013) Complaint # 204-13-310 regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/lawrence-arapahoe.htm>
4. U.S. Department of Justice v. Central Virginia Regional Jail Authority regarding effective communication (DJ 204-80-101) (2019): https://archive.ada.gov/central_va_jail_sa.html
5. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Ken Mascara, Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida regarding Effective Communication and Auxiliary Aids and Services (# 204-18-181), (4/12/2007) - <https://archive.ada.gov/stlucieco.htm>

Police

1. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of Englewood, Colorado (#204-13-311) (2013) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/englewood.htm>
2. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with City of New Haven, Connecticut (# 204-14-143 / 204-14-144) (2013) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/new-haven/new-haven-sa.htm>
3. Sage v. City of Winooski, Vermont, Statement of Interest by U.S. Department of Justice (1/18/2017) to clarify application of Title II in stopping and arresting disabled persons, explain application of Title II's reasonable modification requirement in this context: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/winooski_soi.pdf
4. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Columbia, South Carolina Police Department (DJ# 204-67-153) (2016) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/columbia_pd/columbia_pd_sa.html
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Consolidated City of Jacksonville, Florida (# 204-17M-279; 204-17M-290; 204-17M-295; 204-17M-346; 204-17M-361; 204-17M-400; 204-17M-401) (9/27/2007) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/jacksonvillefla.htm>
6. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Elk Grove Village Police Department, Elk Grove, Illinois regarding failure to provide auxiliary aids and services (Effective Communication) (10/28/2008): https://archive.ada.gov/elk_grove.htm
7. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with New York City Police Department (11/18/2009) regarding Effective Communication: <https://archive.ada.gov/nypd.htm>
8. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Finding (12/7/2016) with Philadelphia Police Department regarding auxiliary aids and services to ensure Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/philadelphia_pd_lof.pdf
 - i. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement agreement with Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia (DJ 204-62-226) regarding Effective Communication (8/2/2018): https://archive.ada.gov/ppd_sa.html
9. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Civil Action No. 15-cv-00803 (KBJ)) in the Robinson v. Farley case in U.S. District Court for District of Columbia regarding failure to accommodate disabilities during arrest and post-arrest proceedings: https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/robinson_soi.pdf

10. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Rochester, Michigan Police Department (8/24/2015) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/rochester_pd_sa.html
11. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with the Wallingford Police Department (No. 204-14-164) regarding Effective Communication: https://archive.ada.gov/wallingford_sa.html
12. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest in the Williams v. the City of New York case (2 Civ. 6805 (VEC)) establishing the fact that Title II of the ADA extends to arrests, including provision of auxiliary aids and services: https://archive.ada.gov/williams_new-york_soi.pdf

Courts

1. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Kootenai County, Idaho to remove architectural barriers to create access to detention center facilities and public buildings including county courthouse; county justice building; juvenile justice center, and county public safety building; #: 2014-22-97; 204-22-98; 204-22-99; 204-22-100, (10/3/2017): https://archive.ada.gov/kootenai_sa.html
2. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement with Massachusetts Trial Court (3/24/2022) regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities on the basis of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), including prohibition of use of medication for OUD in order to participate in drug court activities: https://archive.ada.gov/usao_mass_court_sa.pdf
3. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (No. 204-67-120); with Oconee County, South Carolina Courthouse Municipal Facility (7/22/2010) – initiated as a compliance review - regarding physical accessibility in courthouse, including parking, signage, restrooms, courtroom seating, witness stands, jury boxes, and accessible routes: <https://archive.ada.gov/oconee.htm>
4. U. S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (3/25/2019) with Orange County Circuit Court, Magistrate 16th Judicial District, Executive Secretary Virginia Supreme Court (Entities of the Commonwealth of Virginia), regarding Effective Communication for judicial circuit courts: https://archive.ada.gov/entities_commonwealth_va_sa.html
5. U.S. Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (#204-17M-440) (7/17/2014) with Orange County Clerk of Courts, Florida, regarding accessibility of court documents to an attorney who is blind and other individuals who use screen reader technology (includes phrase “a public entity may not, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, utilize methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity’s services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity...”: <https://archive.ada.gov/occ.htm>
6. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Case 4:12-cv-45-SEB-WGH) in Praker v. State of Indiana (Southern District of Indiana, New Albany Division) regarding denial of Effective Communication in court proceedings (1/7/2014): https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/praker_soi.pdf
7. U.S. Department of Justice Statement of Interest (Civil Action No. 15-cv-00803 (KBJ)) in the Robinson v. Farley case in U.S. District Court for District of Columbia regarding failure to

accommodate disabilities during arrest and post-arrest proceedings:

https://archive.ada.gov/briefs/robinson_soi.pdf

8. U.S. Department of Justice Letter of Findings and Complaint with Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania regarding limiting participation in programs, services, and activities for disabled individuals with Opioid Use Disorder, including denial of benefit from probationary and treatment court supervision:
 - i. Letter of Findings (2/2/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_lof.pdf
 - ii. Complaint (2/24/2022): https://archive.ada.gov/ujs_comp.pdf